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# +44 (0) 20 3714 3187Understanding this document

In addition to the detailed indicator text and selection options, in each module of the PRI Reporting Framework, you can find information that will help you identify which indicators are relevant for your organisation.

**Top bar**

Key information about each indicator is highlighted in the top bar, including the indicator status (mandatory or voluntary), the purpose of the indicator and which PRI Principle it relates to.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| xxx 01 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

**Indicator status**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| MANDATORY | Mandatory indicators reflect core practices. These responses will be made public and must be completed to submit the framework. |
| MANDATORY TO REPORT  VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE | Some indicators are mandatory to complete, but voluntary to disclose. These indicators may determine which subsequent indicators are applicable or are used for peering, but they may also contain commercially sensitive information. |
| VOLUNTARY | Voluntary indicators reflect alternative or advanced practices. These indicators are voluntary to report and disclose. |

**Purpose**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Gateway |  | The responses to this indicator ‘unlock’ other indicators within a module if they are relevant for your organisation. Please refer to the logic box for more information. |
| Peering |  | These indicators are used to determine your peer groups for assessment purposes. |
| Core assessed |  | These indicators form the core of the assessment and represent the majority of your final assessment score. |
| Additional assessed |  | These indicators represent more advanced or alternative practices and contribute to a smaller part of your score. |
| Descriptive |  | These are open-ended narrative indicators, allowing you to describe your activities. |

**Underneath the indicator**

Underneath the indicator, you can find the explanatory notes and definitions that include important information on interpreting and completing the indicators. Read the logic box to make sure an indicator is applicable to you.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| xxx 01 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **xxx 01.1** | This provides guidance about how to interpret the sub-indicators, including examples of what could be reported. |
| **xxx 01.2** |
| **LOGIC** | |
| **xxx 01** | This explains when this indicator is applicable and/or if it has an impact on subsequent indicators. If there is no logic box, the indicator is always applicable and does not affect other indicators. |
| **ASSESSMENT** | |
| **xxx 01** | This provides a brief overview of the pilot assessment approach for this indicator. |
|  |  |
| xxx 01 | **DEFINITIONS** |
| **xxx 01** | Specific terms that are used in the indicator are defined here. |
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# Pathways through the module

**LEA 01:** Engagement policy & approach  interaction on ESG issues

**LEA 02:** Reasons for engaging  interaction on ESG issues

**LEA 03:** Process for identifying and prioritising individual engagement activities

**LEA 04:** Objectives for individual engagement activities

**LEA 05:** Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement activities

**LEA 06**: Objectives for collaborative engagement activities

**LEA 07:** Role in engagement process

**LEA 08:** Monitor / discuss service provider information

**LEA 09:** Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers.

**LEA 10:** Tracking number of engagements

**LEA 11:** Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort

**LEA 13:** Engagements on E, S and/or G issues

**LEA 14:** Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement

**LEA 15:** Examples of ESG engagements

**LEA 16:** Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements

Engagements to influence corporate practice on ESG or encourage improved ESG disclosure

Collaborative engagements

Individual engagements

Service provider engagements

***Note:*** *If you report engagement to support investment decision-making or ‘other’ reasons, only indicators [01] and [02] will be applicable.*

**LEA End:** Module confirmation page

**LEA Proxy Voting section:** indicators 17 - 27 (shown on next page)

If you track or can estimate number of engagements

**LEA 12:** Engagement methods

**LEA 18:** Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions

**LEA 19:** Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed

**LEA 20:** Confirmation of votes

**LEA 21:** Securities lending programme

**LEA 22**: Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management

**LEA 23:** Percentage of (proxy) votes cast

**LEA 24:** Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions

**LEA 25:** Shareholder resolutions

**LEA 26:** Examples of (proxy) voting activities

**LEA 27:** Disclosing voting activities

**LEA End:** Module confirmation page

If you hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on your behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which you review and make voting decisions

**LEA Engagement section:** indicators 01 - 16. (shown on previous page)

**LEA 17:** Voting policy and approach

# Preface

The information reported in this module will enable your stakeholders to understand how your organisation carries out the following activities in relation to listed equities: (a) your own engagement activities (i.e., engagement conducted by internal staff, both collaboratively and individually) or any engagement activities undertaken on your behalf by service providers; and (b) (proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions decided upon by you or on your behalf by service providers.

This module does not cover engagement and/or (proxy) voting activities carried out on your behalf by external investment managers. These activities are covered in the module on external managers titled *Indirect—Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring (SAM).*

Note: This module has two different sections.

**Summary of updates**

The Reporting Framework has not changed significantly since 2016. Some minor amendments have been made to improve data quality or assessment. The guidance has also been clarified throughout.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Indicator | Update |
| LEA 01 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| LEA 02 | This indicator has been reworded to improve clarity. |
| LEA 03 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| LEA 04 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| LEA 05 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| LEA 06 | This indicator has been reworded to improve clarity. |
| LEA 07 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| LEA 11 | This indicator has been reworded and restructured to improve clarity. |
| LEA 12 | This indicator has been reworded to improve clarity. |
| LEA 13 | This indicator has been reworded and restructured to improve clarity. |
| LEA 17 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| LEA 19 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| LEA 20 | This indicator has been restructured to improve clarity |
| LEA 21 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| LEA 22 | A new sub-indicator has been added to this indicator, and the options have been modified. |
| LEA 23 | LEA 23.2 has been restructured and now includes check boxes. |
| LEA 24 | LEA 24.3 has been changed to capture new information. |
| LEA 25 | The options in this indicator have been modified. |
| Prefilling | Prefilling is enabled for this module, with the following exceptions.   * In the engagements section, LEA 11, 13, 14 and 15 will not prefill because the responses are specific to the reporting year. * LEA 17.5 will not prefill because this is a new sub-indicator. * In the (proxy) voting section, LEA 19, 23, 24, 25 and 26 will not prefill because the responses are specific to the reporting year. * LEA 20 will not prefill because the indicator structure has changed. |

|  |
| --- |
| SECTION |
| Engagement |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **OVERVIEW** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 01 | MANDATORY | ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 01 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 01.1** | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 01.2** | Indicate what your engagement policy covers. | |
|  | * Conflicts of interest * Prioritisation of engagements * Transparency * Environmental factors * Social factors * Governance factors * Other, describe \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ * None of the above | |
| **LEA 01.3** | Attach or provide a URL to your engagement policy.  [OPTIONAL] | |
|  | |
| **LEA 01.4** | Provide a brief overview of your organization’s approach to engagement. | |
|  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 01 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 01.4** | This may include a discussion of:   * your organisation’s objectives for undertaking engagement activities; * whether engagement is conducted with companies that are currently held in your portfolios or also with companies not held; * whether your engagements are primarily proactive to ensure that ESG issues are well managed in a preventive manner, or reactive to address issues that may have already occurred; * who carries out the engagement (e.g., specialised in-house engagement teams, the analysts, more senior-level roles, etc.); and/or * who you seek to engage with within companies (e.g., board representatives, chairman, CEO, CR/IR managers); * whether your organisation typically engages with companies on specific ESG issues, e.g. emissions, climate change or other specific ESG issues. | | |
| LOGIC | | | |
| **LEA 01** | [01.2], [01.3] and [01.4] will be applicable if you report “Yes” in [01.1], | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 01** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your response to [01.1] and [01.2]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘No’ | 🞈 |  |
|  | 'Yes' in LEA 01.1 and 'None of the above' in LEA 02.1. | ★ |  |
|  | 'Yes' in LEA 01.1 and up to two selections in LEA 02.1. | ★★ |  |
|  | 'Yes' in LEA 01.1 and three or more selections in LEA 02.1. | ★★★ |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 02 | MANDATORY | GATEWAY | PRI 1,2,3 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 02 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 02.1** | Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction. | |
|  | **Type of engagement** | **Reason for interaction** |
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | * To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues * To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure * Other; specify\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ * We do not engage via internal staff. |
| Collaborative engagements | * To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues * To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure * Other; specify\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ * We do not engage via collaborative engagements. |
| Service provider engagements | * To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues * To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure * Other; specify\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ * We do not engage via service providers. |
| **LEA 02.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 02 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 02** | Your responses to this indicator will determine which sections you see later in this module. |
| **LEA 02.1** | Option ‘**To influence corporate practice** (or identify the need to influence) **on ESG issues**’  Option ‘**To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure’**  These are engagements that seek better ESG-related disclosure and transparency. These interactions relate to [Principle 2 and Principle 3](http://www.unpri.org/about-pri/the-six-principles/).  Select **‘Other’** only if your organisation is doing something additional or that does not relate to the existing options. If you only select ‘Other’, you will be able to explain your approach, but you will not be able to report on the rest of the engagement section. |
| **LOGIC** | |
| **LEA 02** | Between indicators  [02.1] determines which indicators are applicable in the engagement section of LEA.  If you select ‘To influence corporate practice’ and/or ‘To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure’, you will see the full set of indicators related to engagement (i.e., how you implement PRI Principles 2 and 3 in listed equities). Indicators [03-04] cover Individual/Internal staff engagements; indicators [05-06] cover collaborative engagements; and indicators [07-08] cover service provider engagements. In addition, if engagements are reported for the above reasons that are conducted by internal staff, service providers and/or collaboratively, indicators [09], [10] and [12–15] will be applicable.  Organisations that only report ‘Other’ will not report on the rest of the engagement section. |
| **ASSESSMENT** | |
| **LEA 02** | Organisations that only report ‘Other’ will not be able to report on the engagement section of the LEA module and will score zero for engagement.  Your aggregated LEA score will be based on your ‘primary’ method of engagement (directly by internal staff, in collaboration with other investors and/or through commercial service providers). The ‘primary’ method will be determined by your responses to LEA 11.1–11.3, the number of engagements combined with how comprehensive they were and the level of your involvement. All reported assessed indicators will be included in your module scorecard. PRI does not incentivise a particular engagement method. Signatories can score the top grade doing any one or combination of engagement methods. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 02 | | **DEFINITIONS** |
| *Engagement split by who conducts them* | | |
| There are many different configurations of engagement. Investors engage with companies directly in their own name, in collaboration with other investors (through investor networks and membership organisations) and through commercial service providers. The distinctions between these are not always clear-cut. Please use the definitions below and your best professional judgement when deciding how to classify your engagements. Review the process indicators for each category (LEA 03-04 for internal; LEA 05-06 for collaborative; and LEA 07-08 for service provider) and determine which indicator(s) best fit your business model.  Please contact the Reporting and Assessment team if you require additional clarification. | | |
| **Individual/Internal staff engagement** | The defining characteristics of an individual/internal staff engagement are:   * it is carried out by your internal staff alone, with no involvement or support from other investors, investor networks or service providers; and * it is conducted in the name of your organisation (i.e., the companies with which you engage can identify your organisation individually) and you do not act on behalf of other organisations.   Being a signatory to CDP should not be counted as an engagement but rather reported as part of the way you support responsible investment in Strategy & Governance SG08. However, if your organisation engages in its own name with companies on their carbon emissions, water or forest footprint disclosure as a follow-up to CDP disclosure requests and/or are part of CDP’s Carbon Action or Aiming for A initiatives, you should report these engagements as individual/internal staff engagements. | |
| **Collaborative engagement** | Collaborative engagement is engagement that an investor conducts jointly with other investors. This includes:   * groups of investors working together without the involvement of a formal investor network or other membership organisation(s); * groups of investors working together with the support of a formal investor network or other membership organisation, with some level of support but with individual members of the collaboration responsible for most of the engagement activity; and * collaborative engagement supported by PRI staff and conducted through the PRI Clearinghouse platform.   Being a signatory to CDP should not be counted as an engagement but rather reported as part of the way you support responsible investment in Strategy & Governance SG08. However, if your organisation engages with a group of investors in its own name with companies on their carbon emissions, water or forest footprint disclosure as a follow-up to CDP disclosure requests, you should report these engagements as collaborative engagements. | |
| **Service provider engagement** | Service provider engagements include engagements conducted via:   * commercial parties that provide stand-alone engagement services without managing their clients’ underlying assets; and * investor organisations that conduct engagement on their members’ behalf and that have an explicit mandate from their members to represent them.   These include engagements conducted entirely on an outsourced basis as well as those facilitated by the service provider, but the investor’s own staff undertake some of the engagement activity. | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **PROCESS** | Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_MB_short.png | *Process for engagements run internally* |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 03 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 03 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 03.1** | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 03.2** | Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagement activities carried out by internal staff. | |
|  | * Geography/market of the companies * Materiality of ESG factors * Systemic risks to global portfolios * Exposure (holdings) * In response to ESG impacts that have already occurred. * As a response to divestment pressure * As a follow-up from a voting decision * Client request * Other; describe \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 03.3** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 03 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 03.2** | You can report how you prioritise collaborative engagements in LEA 05. | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 03** | This indicator is applicable if you have engagements conducted by internal staff that aim “to influence corporate practice” or “to encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure”, as reported in [02.1]  [03.2] is triggered if you report ‘Yes’ to [03.1]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 03** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [03.1]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘No’ | 🞈 |  |
|  | ‘Yes’ | ★★★ |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 04 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 04 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 04.1** | Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out by internal staff. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes, for all engagement activities  🔾 Yes, for the majority of engagement activities  🔾 Yes, for a minority of engagement activities  🔾 We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff. | |
| **LEA 04.2** | Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements activities carried out by internal staff. | |
| 🔾 Yes, in all cases  🔾 Yes, in the majority of cases  🔾 Yes, in the minority of cases  🔾 We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff. | |
| **LEA 04.3** | Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals for engagement activities carried out by internal staff. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 04.4** | Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of engagement activities carried out by internal staff. | |
|  | * Define timelines for milestones and goals * Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals * Establish a process for when goals are not met * Revisit and, if necessary, revise goals on continuous basis * Other; please specify ­­­­­­­­­­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. | |
| **LEA 04.5** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 04 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 04.1** | This indicator allows you to demonstrate the extent to which your engagement activities have clearly defined objectives. | | |
| **LEA 04.5** | Depending on the approach adopted, this may include a discussion of:   * whether/how engagement objectives and goals are defined; * whether/how progress of engagement activities is tracked (e.g., milestones, intermediate targets), including how you monitor actions taken by companies; * whether/how the decision to escalate or terminate an engagement programme or activity is made; * whether/how insights gained from the engagement programme are incorporated into investment decision-making; and/or * whether/how lessons learned from the engagement are captured and integrated into future engagement programmes. | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 04** | This indicator is applicable if you have engagements conducted by internal staff that aim “to influence corporate practice” or “to encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure”, as reported in [02.1]  Within this indicator  [04.4] is applicable if you report ‘Yes’ to [04.3]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 04** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on whether you define specific objectives [04.1] and whether you monitor those actions [04.2]. | | |
| **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
| **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
| ‘No’ in either LEA 04.1 or 04.2 |  |  |
| ‘Yes, for a minority’ in LEA 04.1 and 04.2 |  |  |
| ‘Yes, for the majority/all’ in either LEA 04.1 or 04.2 |  |  |
| ‘Yes, in all cases’ in LEA 04.1 and 04.2 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **PROCESS** | Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_MB_short.png | *Process for engagements conducted via collaborations* |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 05 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 05 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 05.1** | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagements. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 05.2** | Describe the criteria used to identify and prioritise collaborative engagements. | |
|  | * Geography/market of the companies * Materiality of ESG factors * Systemic risks to global portfolios * Exposure (holdings) * In response to ESG impacts that have already occurred. * As a response to divestment pressure * As a follow-up from a voting decision * Client request * Other; describe \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 05.3** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 05 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 05.2** | Investors carrying out both individual internal staff engagements and collaborative engagements may prioritise their engagements across both categories. In this scenario, please report your process in both indicator LEA 03 and LEA 05. | | |
|  | Additional information on priority-setting could include:   * the benefits to your organisation of engaging collaboratively; and/or * why your organisation joined any specific collaborative engagements and the role played (e.g., leader or supporter, contributions to planning or drafting of documents). | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 05** | This indicator is applicable if you participate in collaborative engagements aiming “to influence corporate practice” or “to encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure”, as reported in [02.1].  [05.2] is applicable if you report ‘Yes’ to [05.1]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 05** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your response to [05.1]. | | |
| **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
| **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
| ‘No’ |  |  |
| ‘Yes’ |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 06 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 06 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 06.1** | Indicate if you define specific objectives for your engagement activities carried out collaboratively. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes, for all collaborative engagement activities  🔾 Yes, for the majority of collaborative engagement activities  🔾 Yes, for a minority of collaborative engagement activities  🔾 We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out collaboratively. | |
| **LEA 06.2** | Indicate whether you monitor the actions companies take following your collaborative engagements. | |
| 🔾 Yes, in all cases  🔾 Yes, in the majority of cases  🔾 Yes, in the minority of cases  🔾 We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out collaboratively. | |
| **LEA 06.3** | Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals related to collaborative engagement activities. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 06.4** | Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your collaborative engagement activities. | |
|  | * Define timelines for milestones and goals * Tracking and/or monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals * Establish a process for when goals are not met * Revisit and, if necessary, revise goals on a continuous basis * Other; please specify ­­­­­­­­­­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 06.5** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 06 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 06.5** | Depending on the approach adopted, this may include a discussion of:   * if/how collaborative engagement objectives and goals are defined; * if/how the progress of collaborative engagement activities are tracked (e.g., milestones, intermediate targets), including how you monitor action taken by companies; * if/how the decision to terminate or escalate a collaborative engagement programme or activity is made; * if/how insights gained from collaborative engagement are incorporated into investment decision-making; and/or * if/how lessons learned are captured and integrated into future engagement programmes.   You may wish to provide an example of how you have monitored and evaluated progress in a particular collaborative engagement. | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 06** | This indicator is applicable if you participate in collaborative engagements aiming “to influence corporate practice” or “to encourage improved ESG disclosure”, as reported in [02.1].  [06.4] is applicable if you report ‘Yes’ to [06.3]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 06** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on whether your collaborative engagements have defined objectives [06.1] and whether you monitor those actions [06.2]. | | |
| **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
| **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
| ‘No’ in either LEA 06.1 or 06.2 |  |  |
| ‘Yes, for a minority’ in LEA 06.1 and 06.2 |  |  |
| ‘Yes, for the majority/all’ in either LEA 06.1 or 06.2 |  |  |
| ‘Yes, for all’ in LEA 06.1 and LEA 06.2 |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **PROCESS** | Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_MB_short.png | *Process for engagements conducted with/on your behalf by service providers* |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 07 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2,4 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 07 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 07.1** | Indicate whether you play a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts on your behalf. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 07.2** | Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf. | |
|  | * Discuss the topic (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement * Discuss the rationale for engagement * Discuss the objectives of the engagement * Select the companies to be engaged with * Discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies * Discuss progress towards the engagement objectives * Monitor and review outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement * Discuss next steps for engagement activity * Participate directly in certain engagements with your service provider * Ad hoc monitoring and review of engagement processes. * Other; specify\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 07.3** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 07 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 07** | This indicator refers to engagement in relation to your internally and externally managed assets conducted by service providers. Any engagements carried out by your external investment managers are covered in the *Indirect* *Manager Selection, Appointment and Monitoring (SAM)* module. | | |
| **LEA 07.3** | The response may include discussion of:   * your role in setting engagement/active ownership objectives; * how you monitor/oversee service provider activities; and/or * any joint engagement conducted with the service provider (e.g., participating in company meetings). | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 07** | This indicator is applicable if you have service provider engagements aiming “to influence corporate practice” or “to encourage improved ESG disclosure”, as reported in [02.1].  [07.2] is applicable if you report ‘Yes’ to [07.1]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 07** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [07.1] and [07.2]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘No’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes’, one option selected |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes’, 2–3 options selected |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes’, >3 options selected |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 08 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2,6 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 08 | INDICATOR |
| **LEA 08.1** | Indicate whether you monitor and/or discuss the following information provided to you by your service provider. |
|  | * The subject (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement * The objectives of the engagement * The rationale for engagement * The frequency/intensity of interactions with companies * Progress towards the achievement of engagement objectives * Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement * Next steps for engagement activity * Other; specify \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ * None of the above |
| **LEA 08.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 08 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 08** | This indicator is applicable if you have service provider engagements aiming to influence corporate practice’ or ‘encourage better ESG disclosure’, as reported in [02.1]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 08** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [08.1]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘None of the above’ |  |  |
|  | 1–2 options selected |  |  |
|  | 3–4 options selected |  |  |
|  | >4 options selected |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **PROCESS** | Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_MB_short.png | General processes for all three groups of engagers |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 09 | VOLUNTARY | ADDITIONAL ASSESSED | PRI 1,2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 09 | INDICATOR |
| **LEA 09.1** | Indicate if insights gained from your engagements are shared with your internal or external investment managers. |
|  | **Individual/Internal staff engagements** |
| 🔾 Yes, systematically 🔾 Yes, occasionally 🔾 No |
| **Collaborative engagements** |
| 🔾 Yes, systematically 🔾 Yes, occasionally 🔾 No |
| **Service provider engagements** |
| 🔾 Yes, systematically 🔾 Yes, occasionally 🔾 No |
| **LEA 09.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] |
|  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 09 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 09.1** | This indicator allows you to explain whether you have a formal process for sharing information derived from engagement with your internal or external investment managers (i.e., to link PRI Principle 2 and Principle 1).  This indicator mirrors indicator LEI 05. This aspect is addressed in both the LEI and LEA modules to highlight the link between Principles 1 and 2 and to reward this link from both the listed equity incorporation and active ownership standpoints.  Report ‘Yes, systematically’ if you have a formal system or process. This may, for example, consist of meetings or the recording of the results of engagement on an IT system that is shared with investment staff.  Report ‘Yes, occasionally’ if the information is shared in some cases, but not according to a formal process of this kind. | | |
| **LEA 09.2** | This may include a discussion of:   * how you decide what information to pass on to investment decision-makers; * what you expect investment decision-makers to do with the insights you pass on; and/or * how you monitor their use of the insights you pass on. * Whether ESG data feeds into an internal ratings tool/platform | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 09** | This indicator will be applicable if you reported “to influence corporate practice” or “to encourage improved ESG disclosure” for any combination of the following engagement methods at [02.1]: individual/internal, collaborative, service provider.  You will only be required to report information relevant to the engagement methods you reported in [02.1]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 09** | Maximum score: Three ★ per engagement implementation group.  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [09.1]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ’No’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, occasionally’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, systematically’ |  |  |
|  |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 10 | MANDATORY | GATEWAY | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 10 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 10.1** | Indicate if you track the number of companies you engage with. | |
|  | **Type of engagement** | **Tracking engagements** |
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | 🔾 Yes, we track the number of engagements in full.  🔾 Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements.  🔾 We do not track. |
| Collaborative engagements | 🔾 Yes, we track the number of collaborative engagements in full.  🔾 Yes, we partially track the number of our collaborative engagements.  🔾 We do not track. |
| Service provider engagements | 🔾 Yes, we track the number of service provider engagements in full.  🔾 Yes, we partially track the number of our service provider engagements.  🔾 We do not track. |
| **LEA 10.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 10 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 10.1** | This indicator allows you to report how you tracking the number of engagements conducted by your organisation and/or its service providers.  You may be tracking your engagements but doing so partially (e.g., when engagements carried out by a dedicated ESG team are recorded but engagements by mainstream portfolio managers are not). If so, select ‘Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements’. |
| **LEA 10.2** | This may include a discussion of:   * the systems in place to track progress of engagements; * a description of the information collected; * how regularly tracking systems are updated and to whom this information is provided; and/or * any auditing procedures to ensure information recorded is accurate. |
| **LOGIC** | |
| **LEA 10** | This indicator will be applicable if you reported ‘to influence corporate practice’ or ‘to encourage improved ESG disclosure’ for any combination of the following engagement methods at [02.1]: individual/internal, collaborative, service provider.  You will only be required to report information relevant to the engagement methods you reported at [02.1].  If your organisation does not track or cannot provide partial information or reliable estimates on engagements, you will not see indicator [11], which asks you to provide information about the number of engagements. However, you will still be able to provide examples of your engagements later in this section [14]. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 11 | MANDATORY TO REPORT  VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 11 | **INDICATOR** | | | |
| **LEA 11.1** | Indicate the amount of your listed equities portfolio with which your organisation engaged during the reporting year. | | | |
|  | **Number of companies engaged** | **Proportion (to the nearest 5%)** | **Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated** |
| Individual/Internal staff engagements |  |  | 🔾 Of the total number of companies you hold  🔾 Of the total value of your listed equity holdings |
| Collaborative engagements |  |  | 🔾 Of the total number of companies you hold  🔾 Of the total value of your listed equity holdings |
| Service provider engagements |  |  | 🔾 Of the total number of companies you hold  🔾 Of the total value of your listed equity holdings |
| **LEA 11.2** | Indicate the proportion of engagements that involved multiple, substantive and detailed discussions or interactions with a company during the reporting year relating to ESG issue. | | | |
|  | | **% Comprehensive** | |
| Individual/Internal staff engagements | | 🔾 >50% 🔾 10-50% 🔾 <10% 🔾 None | |
| Collaborative engagements | | 🔾 >50% 🔾 10-50% 🔾 <10% 🔾 None | |
| Service provider engagements | | 🔾 >50% 🔾 10-50% 🔾 <10% 🔾 None | |
| **LEA 11.3** | Indicate the percentage of your collaborative engagements for which you were a leading organisation during the reporting year. | | | |
|  | | **% Leading role** | |
| Collaborative engagements | | 🔾 >50% 🔾 10-50% 🔾 <10% 🔾 None | |
| **LEA 11.4** | Indicate the percentage of your service provider engagements that you were highly involved in during the reporting year. | | | |
|  | | **% High involvement** | |
| Service provider engagements | | 🔾 >50% 🔾 10-50% 🔾 <10% 🔾 None | |
| **LEA 11.5** | Additional information  [Optional] | | | |
|  | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 11 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | | | | | |
| **LEA 11.1** | This sub-indicator allows you to report the number and comprehensiveness of your engagements in the reporting year. Do not list engagement activities in which you have not had any interactions with the company in the reporting year.  *Number of companies engaged*  Please record the total number of **companies** with which you engaged in the reporting year, irrespective of the number of issues on which you engaged. *Engagements (to the nearest 5%)*  Please record either:  the percentage of companies engaged with as a proportion of the total number of companies you hold; or  the proportion of the assets covered by the engagements out of your total listed equities holdings.  *Avoid double counting*  Make sure you list the engagement only in one of the three categories, based on the definitions provided. Do not count the same case of engagement with a company in more than one category. If you contract more with than one service provider to conduct engagements with the same companies for the same issues, do not double count the number of companies engaged on your behalf (although a +/- 5% estimation of the overlap may be applied).  *Do not include the following as engagements here:*   * interactions with companies for data collection and research purposes related to buy/hold/sell/weight decisions. These are related to Principle 1; * standard questionnaires sent to companies for the purposes of information gathering and investment decision-making related to Principle 1 only (e.g., on products, or ESG policies and performance, for screening purposes); * attendance without interactions/discussion at a company presentation, an AGM or other company meeting; * interactions intended to influence public policy or bodies defining industry best practices. These fall under the OA module; * CDP’s disclosure requests on GHG emissions, water and forests. These are not captured as engagements but are reported in OA. You can include your engagement with CDP engagement programmes such as Carbon Action or Aiming for A; and/or * press releases an investor may publish on a decision by a company. | | | | | | |
| **LEA 11.2** | When reporting comprehensive engagements, report only those engagements that involve multiple, substantive and detailed discussions or interactions with a company (e.g., letters, meetings and calls) that were active during the reporting period relating to a particular ESG issue.  If on one issue you engage comprehensively with a company, and with the same company engage on another issue to a basic level, count the engagement as comprehensive. If you engage with a company on two issues on a basic level, count it as basic, not comprehensive. | | | | | | |
| **LEA 11.5** | Describe here any additional way you may wish to report your engagement activities, interactions and effort. | | | | | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | | | | | |
| **LEA 11** | This indicator will be applicable if you reported that full, partial or estimated data were available for any combination of the following engagement methods at [10.1]: individual/internal, collaborative, service provider. | | | | | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | | | | | |
| **LEA 11** | Maximum score: Nine ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [11.1–11.4]. It will be possible to receive a full score regardless of who conducts the engagements.  The assessment takes into account the number of engagements you conducted [11.1] as well as how comprehensive these engagements were [11.2] and the level of involvement by the signatory [11.3 and 11.4]. | | | | | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | | | | | |
|  | **Multiplier table** | | | | | | |
|  |  | | | ***None*** | **<10%** | **10–50%** | **>50%** |
|  | **Basic / low involvement (LEA 11.3)** | | | - | - | - | - |
|  | **Comprehensive (LEA 11.2)** | | | - | × 1 | × 1.5 | × 2 |
|  | **Leading Role / High involvement (LEA 11.4)** | | | - | × 1 | × 1.5 | × 2 |
|  | **The resulting figure is then converted to stars using the below values** | | | | | | |
|  | **AUM Size (USD)** | **> 10 bn** | **5 – 9.99 bn** | **1 – 4.99 bn** | **0.1–0.99bn** | **0-0.1bn** | **Score** |
|  | Score | <30 | <20 | <10 | <4 | <2 |  |
|  | >30 | >20 | >10 | >4 | >2 | 1 |
|  | >90 | >60 | >40 | >10 | >4 | 2 |
|  | >150 | >100 | >70 | >20 | >6 | 3 |
|  | >210 | >140 | >100 | >30 | >8 | 4 |
|  | >270 | >180 | >130 | >40 | >10 | 5 |
|  | >330 | >220 | >160 | >50 | >12 | 6 |
|  | >390 | >260 | >190 | >60 | >14 | 7 |
|  | >450 | >300 | >220 | >70 | >16 | 8 |
|  | >510 | >340 | >250 | >80 | >18 | 9 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 11 | **DEFINITIONS** |
| **LEA 11** | For definitions on engagements, who conducts them, the intensity and the effort, please refer to the [Main Definitions](https://www.unpri.org/download_report/23059) document. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 12 | VOLUNTARY | ADDITIONAL ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 12 | **INDICATOR** | |
| **LEA 12.1** | Indicate which of the following your engagement involved. | |
| * Letters to outline the engagement and the objectives | * In some cases * In majority of cases * In all cases |
| * Meetings and/or calls with the appropriate team | * In some cases * In majority of cases * In all cases |
| * Visits to operations | * In some cases * In majority of cases * In all cases |
| * Roadshows | * In some cases * In majority of cases * In all cases |
| * ESG research | * In some cases * In majority of cases * In all cases |
| * Other; specify\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | * In some cases * In majority of cases * In all cases |
| **LEA 12.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 12 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | |
| **LEA 12.1** | This indicator allows you to report on the actions you take as part of your engagements, and the frequency with which you do them. | |
| **LEA 12.2** | This may include a discussion of:   * how you decide which actions to take; * whether there is an escalation process that triggers different actions; and/or * examples. | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | |
| Maximum score: three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [12.1]. | | |
| **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
| **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
| No engagements |  |  |
| One or two types |  |  |
| Three types |  |  |
| Four or more types |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 13 | VOLUNTARY | ADDITIONAL ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 13 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 13.1** | Indicate if your engagements in the reporting year covered E, S and/or G issues, providing an estimation of the breakdown. | |
|  | **Type of engagement** | **ESG issues** |
|  | Individual/Internal staff engagements | % Environmental only |
| % Social only |
| % Corporate Governance only |
| % Overlapping ESG |
| **100%** |
|  | Collaborative engagements | % Environmental only |
| % Social only |
| % Corporate Governance only |
| % Overlapping ESG issues |
| **100%** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Service provider engagements | % Environmental only |
| % Social only |
| % Corporate Governance only |
| % Overlapping ESG issues |
| **100%** |
| **LEA 13.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 13 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 13.2** | *Overlapping ESG issues*  When engaging with a company on more than one of the Environmental, Social and Governance categories, please avoid double counting and only record as ‘Overlapping ESG issues’. |
| **LOGIC** | |
| **LEA 13** | This indicator will be applicable if you reported ‘to influence corporate practice’ or ‘to encourage improved ESG disclosure’ for any combination of the following engagement methods in [02.1]: individual/internal, collaborative, service provider.  You will only be required to report on information relevant to the engagement methods you reported at [02.1]. |
| **ASSESSMENT** | |
| **LEA 13** | Maximum score: Three ★ per engagement implementation group. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | No E/S/G issues |  |  |
|  | One E/S/G issue >0% |  |  |
|  | Two E/S/G issues >0% |  |  |
|  | Three E/S/G issues or ‘Overlapping ESG issues” > 0% |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 13 | **DEFINITIONS** |
| **LEA 13** | For definitions of E, S and G issues, please refer to the [Main Definitions](http://www.unpri.org/wp-content/uploads/2013-14_PRI_RF_maindefinitions.pdf) document. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 14 | VOLUNTARY | DESCRIPTIVE | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 14 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 14.1** | Indicate whether you track the number of cases during the reporting year in which a company changed its practices, or made a formal commitment to do so, following your organisation’s and/or your service provider's engagement activities. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 14.2** | Indicate the number of companies that changed or made a formal commitment to change in the reporting year following your organisation’s and/or your service provider's engagement activities. | |
|  | Individual/Internal staff engagements |  |
| Collaborative engagements |  |
| Service provider engagements |  |
| **LEA 14.3** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 14 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 14** | Many factors influence company practices, behaviour and change; often an engagement is only one contributing factor. The intention here is not that you should prove that your engagement was the sole reason for an identified change. Please use this indicator to explain if you believe the change can be attributed to your engagement. |
| LOGIC | |
| **LEA 14** | This indicator will be applicable if you reported ‘to influence corporate practice’ or ‘to encourage improved ESG disclosure’ for any combination of the following engagement methods at [02.1]: individual/internal, collaborative, service provider.  You will only be required to report information relevant to the engagement methods you reported at [02.1]. [14.2] is applicable if you report ‘Yes’ to [14.1]. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 15 | VOLUNTARY | DESCRIPTIVE | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 15 | INDICATOR | | | | |
| **LEA 15.1** | Provide examples of the engagements that your organisation or your service provider carried out during the reporting year. | | | | |
|  | **Topic or ESG Issue** | **Conducted by** | **Objectives** | **Scope and Process** | **Outcomes** |
|  | Drop down:   * Individual/Internal * Collaborative * Service provider |  |  |  |
|  | [same as above] |  |  |  |
|  | [same as above] |  |  |  |
|  | [same as above] |  |  |  |
|  | [same as above] |  |  |  |
| **LEA 15.2** | Additional information  [Optional] | | | | |
|  |  | | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 15 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 15** | *Number of examples*  We suggest that you provide at least three examples. As far as practicable, examples should be chosen to provide a rounded picture of your engagement activities, covering the range of topics on which you engaged. Examples can be focused on a theme, or they may be engagements with a specific company.  *Topic/ESG issue*  Specify if E, S, and/or G engagement and/or the issue addressed.  *Conducted by*  Clarify who was the main driver in the engagement: you directly, your organisation through collaboration, or a service provider.  *Objective*  Specify the goals and/or motivations for the engagement.  *Scope and Process*  You may wish to comment on the number of companies with which you engaged on the issue in question, relative size in terms of percentage of your portfolio or total AUM, and the approach to engagement (e.g., letters, face-to-face meetings).  *Outcomes*  You should describe the changes, if any, in corporate practice that resulted from the engagement and the role that the engagement activity played in achieving these changes. You may also link the change in behaviour to changes achieved on ESG issues and/or impacts on financial performance. Organisations may also choose to use their internal engagement tracking and monitoring systems to describe progress towards objectives. |
| LOGIC | |
| **LEA 15** | This indicator will be applicable if you reported “to influence corporate practice” or “to encourage improved ESG disclosure” for any combination of the following engagement methods at [02.1]: individual/internal, collaborative, service provider. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Examples** | | | | |
| **Topic or ESG issue** | **Conducted by** | **Objectives** | **Scope and Process** | **Outcomes** |
| Use of uncertified Indonesian wood in paper manufacturing, presenting a significant risk to companies’ reputation | Internal staff | Company commitment to sourcing only from certified forests | We engaged with 15 companies. This represented 18% by number of the companies in our portfolio that we believe may be exposed to this risk.  We conducted numerous meetings with all companies, including with Directors on the Board. | Seven of the companies contacted have agreed to change their purchasing practices, and two of these have issued new policy statements on this issue. |
| Corporate governance | Internal staff | Split Chairman and CEO roles | We met with 6 US listed companies that currently have a combined Chairman/CEO.  This represents x% of the US companies we hold that have a joint Chairman/CEO. | We are awaiting formal responses to our proposals from these companies. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **COMMUNICATION** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 16 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2,6 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 16 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 16.1** | Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on its engagements. | |
|  | 🔾 We disclose it publicly; provide URL\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_.  🔾 We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only.  🔾 We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries. | |
| **LEA 16.2** | Indicate whether the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 16.3** | Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to the public. | |
| ❑ Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement  ❑ Number of engagements  ❑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic  ❑ Breakdown of engagements by region  ❑ An assessment of the current status of the engagement  ❑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement  ❑ Other information\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 16.4** | Indicate how frequently you report engagements information to the public. | |
|  | 🔾 Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)  🔾 Disclosed quarterly or more frequently  🔾 Disclosed biannually  🔾 Disclosed annually  🔾 Disclosed less frequently than annually  🔾 Ad hoc/when requested | |
| **LEA 16.5** | Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries. | |
| ❑ Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement  ❑ Number of engagements  ❑ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic  ❑ Breakdown of engagements by region  ❑ An assessment of the current status of the engagement  ❑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement  ❑ Other information\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 16.6** | Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to clients/beneficiaries. | |
| 🔾 Disclosed continuously (prior and post engagements)  🔾 Disclosed quarterly  🔾 Disclosed annually  🔾 Disclosed every two years or less  🔾 Other; specify\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 16.7** | Describe any other differences in the information being disclosed.  [Optional] | |
|  | |
| **LEA 16.8** | Additional information  [Optional] | |
|  |  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 16 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 16.1** | This indicator allows signatories to demonstrate how widely they make information about their responsible investment activities available. Information provided to certain groups, such as pension fund beneficiaries, may in practice be in the public domain. However, deliberate reporting to the public at large is treated here as a separate category of communication.  Proactively means beyond the publication of your responses to the PRI Reporting Framework on the PRI website. This can be information on your own website (such as your PRI Reporting Framework responses), in your annual report, newsletter, etc., which may include the information from the PRI Reporting Framework in full, a selection or as a link. | | |
| **LEA 16.3** | If the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and the public is the same, the online tool will generate one column to enable you to report this information. | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 16** | This indicator will be applicable if you reported ‘to influence corporate practice’ or ‘to encourage improved ESG disclosure’ for any combination of the following engagement methods at [02.1]: individual/internal, collaborative, service provider.  Within indicator:   * if you report that your organisation discloses information to the public, indicators [16.3] and [16.4] will be applicable; * if you report that your organisation discloses information to your clients/beneficiaries only, indicators [16.5] and [16.6] will be applicable; and/or * if you report that the information presented to the public and clients/beneficiaries is different in indicator [16.2], indicators [16.5] and [16.6] will also be applicable, allowing you to describe the differences in approach. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 16** | Maximum score: Six ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on whether information is disclosed to the public or clients/beneficiaries only [16.1], what information is disclosed [16.3] and how frequently [16.4]. The top score can only be achieved if information is being disclosed to the public. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | Public disclosure | | |
|  | ‘No’ |  |  |
|  | Fewer than four items of information disclosed less frequently than annually |  |  |
|  | Fewer than four items of information disclosed annually or more frequent OR  Four or more items of information disclosed less frequently than annually |  |  |
|  | Four or more items of information disclosed annually or more frequently |  |  |
|  | Disclosure to clients only | | |
|  | ‘No’ |  |  |
|  | Fewer than four items of information disclosed less frequently than annually |  |  |
|  | Fewer than four items of information disclosed annually or more frequent OR  Four or more items of information disclosed less frequently than annually |  |  |
|  | Four or more items of information disclosed annually or more frequently |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| SECTION |
| (Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **OVERVIEW** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 17 | Indicator status  MANDATORY | Purpose  GATEWAY | Principle  PRI 1,2,3 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 17 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 17.1** | Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy? | |
|  | 🔾 Yes, we have a voting policy | 🔾 No, we do not have a voting policy |
| LEA 17.2 | Indicate what your voting policy covers. | |
|  | * Conflicts of interest * Prioritisation of voting activities * Transparency * Decision-making processes * Environmental factors * Social factors * Governance factor * Filing/co-filing resolutions * Extraordinary meetings * Share blocking * Regional voting practices * Record keeping * Company dialogue pre/post vote * Securities lending process * Other; describe \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 17.3 | Attach or provide a URL to your voting policy.  [OPTIONAL] |
|  |
| LEA 17.4 | Provide a brief overview of your organisation’s approach to (proxy) voting.  [OPTIONAL] |
|  |
| LEA 17.5 | Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable). |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 17 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 17.1** | The policy can be a component of or separate to your overall responsible investment policy | | |
| **LEA 17.5** | This might include a discussion of:   * the scope of your voting activities; * the objectives of your voting activities; * Your approach to E, S & G resolutions * how voting activities are related to your engagement and investment activities; * how, if at all, your voting approach differs between markets (e.g., if you do not use your voting rights in particular markets); * whether you pay particular attention to specific corporate governance guidelines or frameworks; * whether and how local regulatory or other requirements influence your approach to voting; and/or * whether you vote by proxy or in person by attending AGMs (or a combination of both), | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 17** | [17.2], [17.3], [17.4] and [17.5] are applicable if you report ‘Yes’ in [17.1]. | | |
| ASSESSMENT | | | |
| **LEA 17** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [17.1]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘No’ | 🞈 |  |
|  | 'Yes' in LEA 17.1 and one selection in LEA 17.2. | ★ |  |
|  | 'Yes' in LEA 17.1 and up to two selections in LEA 17.2. | ★★ |  |
|  | 'Yes' in LEA 17.1 and three or more selections in LEA 17.2. | ★★★ |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **PROCESS** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 18 | MANDATORY | DESCRIPTIVE | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 18 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 18.1** | Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions. | |
|  | **Approach** | **Based on** |
| 🔾 We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers. | 🔾 Our own voting policy  🔾 Our clients' requests or policy  🔾 Other; explain\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| 🔾 We hire a service provider that makes voting recommendations and/or provides research that we use to inform our voting decisions. | 🔾 The service provider voting policy we sign off on  🔾 Our own voting policy  🔾 Our clients' requests or policy  🔾 Other; explain\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| 🔾 We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions. | 🔾 The service provider voting policy we sign off on  🔾 Our own voting policy  🔾 Our clients' requests or policy  🔾 Other; explain\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
|  | 🔾 We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf. | 🔾 The service provider voting policy we sign off on  🔾 Our own voting policy  🔾 Our clients' requests or policy  🔾 Other; explain\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| **LEA 18.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 18 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 18.1** | If you selected the latter two responses combined with ‘our own voting policy’, the word ‘decisions’ implies that your service provider is implementing your voting policy and framework.  If you select ‘Other’, this will affect the peer group to which you are allocated. If you base your voting decisions partly on a policy developed in-house and partly on your service provider’s or a client’s policy, please indicate which is the most significant factor in the majority of cases. |
| **LEA 18.2** | This may include discussion of:   * situations in which there is more than one decision-maker (e.g., if you delegate all voting decisions on corporate governance matters to a service provider but you make all decisions on environmental and social issues internally); * situations in which decisions may be made jointly; * situations in which there may be a divergence from the agreed-upon policy; and/or * how you manage any conflicts of interest when making voting decisions. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 19 | MANDATORY | DESCRIPTIVE | PRI 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 19 | INDICATOR |
| **LEA 19.1** | Of the voting recommendations that your service provider made in the reporting year, indicate the percentage reviewed by your organisation, giving reasons. |
|  | **Percentage of voting recommendations your organisation reviewed** |
| 🔾 >20%, 🔾 5-20%, 🔾 1-5%, 🔾 <1% |
| **Reasons for review** |
| ❑ Specific ESG issues  ❑ Votes for significant holdings  ❑ Votes against management and/or abstentions  ❑ Conflicts of interest  ❑ Corporate actions such as M&A, disposal, etc.  ❑ Votes for companies with which we have an active engagement  ❑ Client requests  ❑ Ad-hoc oversight of Service Provider  ❑ Shareholder resolutions❑ Share blocked securities  ❑ Other; explain\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| **LEA 19.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 19 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 19** | The purpose of this indicator is to allow you, if in some situations you review your service provider's recommendations, to explain the level and nature of your involvement. |
| **LOGIC** | |
| **LEA 19** | This indicator is applicable if you select ‘We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions’ in [18.1]. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 20 | VOLUNTARY | DESCRIPTIVE | PRI 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 20 | INDICATOR |
| **LEA 20.1** | Describe your involvement in any projects to improve the voting trail and/or to obtain vote confirmation. |
|  |  |
| **LEA 20.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 20 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 20** | This may include:   * examples of attempts to confirm a vote for a specific holding.; * details of the information that you receive as confirmation that votes have been counted by the company; * details of how you review the information you receive and the actions you take to ensure the integrity of this information; * comments on any obstacles or challenges that you face. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 21 | VOLUNTARY | ADDITIONAL ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 21 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 21.1** | Does your organisation have a securities lending programme? | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 21.2** | Indicate how voting is addressed in your securities lending programme. | |
|  | 🔾 We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items  🔾 We systematically recall some securities to vote on their ballot items  🔾 We recall some securities to vote on their ballot items on an ad hoc basis  🔾 Our securities lending agent is free to decide when and which securities to recall for voting purposes.  🔾 We communicate our voting instructions to the borrowing entity.  🔾 We do not recall our securities for voting purposes.  🔾 Other; specify \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 21.3** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 21 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 21.3** | If you recall shares on the basis of specific criteria, please explain these criteria, including any ESG issues that they address.  If you occasionally recall shares, please explain the circumstances under which you do this. | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 21** | [21.2] is applicable if you report ‘Yes’ to [21.1].  [21.3] is applicable if you report ‘No’ in [21.1]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 21** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [21.1] and [21.2]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘No’ in LEA 21.1 | N/A | You are not assessed on this indicator |
|  | ‘Yes’, in LEA 21.1 AND ‘We do not recall our shares for voting purposes’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes’, in LEA 21.1 AND ‘We recall some securities for voting on some ballot items on a case by case basis’  OR  ‘Yes’, in LEA 21.1 AND ‘We empower our securities lending agent to decide when to recall securities for voting purposes’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes’, in LEA 21.1 AND ‘We recall some securities for voting on some ballot items on a systematic basis (e.g. in line with specified criteria)’  OR  ‘Yes’, in LEA 21.1 AND ‘We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items’ |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 21 | **DEFINITIONS** |
| **Securities Lending Program** | A transaction in which the beneficial owner of the securities, normally a large institutional investor such as a pension fund or mutual fund, agrees to lend its securities to a borrower, such as a hedge fund, in exchange for collateral consisting of cash and/or government securities. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 22 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 22 | INDICATOR |
| **LEA 22.1** | Indicate whether you or the service providers acting on your behalf raise any concerns with companies ahead of voting |
|  | 🔾 Yes, in most cases  🔾 Sometimes, in the following cases:  ❑ Votes for selected markets  ❑ Votes relating to certain ESG issues  ❑ Votes for significant shareholdings  ❑ Votes for companies we are engaging with  ❑ On request by clients  ❑ Other; explain\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  🔾 Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting |
| **LEA 22.2** | Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies when you abstain or vote against management recommendations. |
| 🔾 Yes, in most cases  🔾 Sometimes, in the following cases:  ❑ Votes in selected markets  ❑ Votes on certain issues  ❑ Votes for significant shareholdings  ❑ Votes for companies we are engaging with  ❑ On request by clients  ❑ Other; explain\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  🔾 We do not communicate the rationale to companies  🔾 Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations |
| **LEA 22.3** | Additional information.  [Optional] |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 22 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 22.3** | This may include a discussion of:   * whether companies are informed of voting decisions before or after voting takes place; and/or * the criteria according to which you inform companies of your voting decisions. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 22** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on your responses to [22.1]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘Not applicable as we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations’ | N/A |  |
|  | We do not communicate the rationale to companies. |  |  |
|  | ‘Sometimes’, one box ticked |  |  |
|  | ‘Sometimes’, two or more boxes ticked |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, in most cases’ |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 22 | **DEFINITIONS** |
| **Significant shareholding** | What qualifies as a significant holding is based on your policies, but typically, holdings above 1% of a company’s total shares outstanding by number are considered significant. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 23 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 23 | **INDICATOR** | |
| **LEA 23.1** | For listed equities in which you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. | |
|  | Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) | Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated. |
| % | 🔾 Of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions  🔾 Of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted  🔾 Of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted |
|  | ❑ We do not track or collect this information. |
| **LEA 23.2** | Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings: | |
|  | ❑ Shares were blocked  ❑ Notice, ballots or materials not received in time  ❑ Missed deadline  ❑ Geographical restrictions (non-home market)  ❑ Cost  ❑ Conflicts of interest  ❑ Holdings deemed too small  ❑ Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)  ❑ We do not vote on environmental resolutions  ❑ We do not vote on social resolutions  ❑ On request by clients  ❑ Other; explain\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 23.3** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  |  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 23 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 23.1** | *For investment managers*  When calculating the percentage of votes cast, please include only those holdings for which you have a client mandate to vote. If you do not have a mandate, do not include those holdings.  *For asset owners*  When calculating the percentages of votes cast, please include only those holdings for which you or your service providers have the mandate to vote. If you have given your investment manager the mandate to vote on your behalf on all or part of your holdings, do not include those holdings in the numerator or denominator of this ratio. | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 23** | [23.2] is applicable if you report the percentage of votes cast as greater than zero in [23.1]. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 23** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on the percentage reported in [23.1]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘We do not record this information’ OR <10% |  |  |
|  | 10–80% |  |  |
|  | 80–95% |  |  |
|  | >95% |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 24 | MANDATORY | ADDITIONALLY ASSESSED | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 24 | INDICATOR | | |
| **LEA 24.1** | Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued. | | |
|  | 🔾 Yes, we track this information. | | 🔾 No, we do not track this information. |
| **LEA 24.2** | Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were: | | |
|  | **Voting instructions** | **Breakdown as percentage of votes cast** | |
| For (supporting) management recommendations | % | |
| Against (opposing) management recommendations | % | |
| Abstentions | % | |
|  | **Total** | **100%** | |
| **LEA 24.3** | Describe the actions you take after voting against management recommendations. | | |
|  |  | | |
| **LEA 24.4** | Additional information.  [Optional] | | |
|  | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 24 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 24.3** | You may wish to discuss:   * communications with the company * any resultant changes to internal ESG ratings * engagement activities |
| **LOGIC** | |
| LEA 24 | [24.2] and [24.3] are applicable if you report ‘Yes, we track this information’ at [24.1]. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 24** | Maximum score: Three ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on the percentage reported in [24.1]. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | ‘No, we do not track this information’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, we track this information’ |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 24 | **DEFINITIONS** |
| Abstentions | Under some voting systems, shareholders are given the option to only vote ‘for’ a resolution or to ‘withhold’ their support (e.g., director election). For the purpose of this indicator, ‘withhold’ votes that have the effect of a vote opposing the recommendation should be included under ‘against’ management recommendations |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 25 | VOLUNTARY | DESCRIPTIVE | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 25 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 25.1** | Indicate if your organisation directly or via a service provider filed or co-filed any ESG shareholder resolutions during the reporting year. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 25.2** | Indicate the number of ESG shareholder resolutions you filed or co-filed. | |
|  | Total number |  |
| **LEA 25.3** | Indicate what percentage of these ESG shareholder resolutions resulted in the following. | |
|  | Went to vote | % |
| Were withdrawn due to changes at the company and/or negotiations with the company | % |
| Were withdrawn for other reasons | % |
| Were rejected/not acknowledged by the company | % |
|  | **Total** | **100%** |
| **LEA 25.4** | Of the ESG shareholder resolutions that you filed or co-filed and that were put to vote (i.e., not withdrawn), indicate the percentage that received approval: | |
| >50%; |  |
| 50-20%; |  |
| <20%. |  |
| **LEA 25.5** | Describe the ESG shareholder resolutions that you filed or co-filed, and the outcomes achieved. | |
|  |  | |
| **LEA 25.6** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 25 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 25** | This indicator is intended to capture both the number and nature of ESG shareholder resolutions that you filed or co-filed and the outcomes of any engagement associated with the filing of resolutions*.* |
| **LEA 25.5** | Your description of the ESG shareholder resolutions could include:   * the rationale for filing these resolutions; * the process undertaken to generate the support required to submit the resolution; * the subject(s) of the resolution(s); * the markets in which they were filed; * why some resolutions were withdrawn; * whether company management changed its approach to address your concerns or other outcomes that resulted; and/or * whether your voting intentions changed as a result of action by company management. |
| **LOGIC** | |
| LEA 25 | Within this indicator  [25.2] will be applicable if you select ‘Yes’ in [25.1].  [25.3, 25.4 and 25.5] will be applicable if you report more than zero ESG shareholder resolutions in [25.2]. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 26 | VOLUNTARY | DESCRIPTIVE | PRI 2 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 26.1 | INDICATOR | | | | |
| **LEA 26.1** | Provide examples of the (proxy) voting activities that your organisation and/or service provider carried out during the reporting year. | | | | |
|  | **Topic or ESG issue** | **Decision made by** | **Objectives** | **Scope and Process** | **Outcomes** |
|  | Drop down:   * Internal staff * Service provider |  |  |  |
|  | [same as above] |  |  |  |
|  | [same as above] |  |  |  |
|  | [same as above] |  |  |  |
|  | [same as above] |  |  |  |
| **LEA 26.2** | Additional information.  [Optional] | | | | |
|  |  | | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 26 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** |
| **LEA 26** | *Number of Examples*  The number of examples that you provide will depend on how you structure your voting activities. We suggest that you provide at least three, and up to 10, examples. As far as is practicable, these examples should be chosen to provide a rounded picture of your organisation’s voting activities.  *Topic or ESG issue*  Specify if E, S, and/or G and the issue addressed.  *Decision made by*  Select whether the voting decision was made internally or by a service provider.  *Objectives*  Describe the voting outcome you expected and any underlying motivations for voting in this way.  *Scope and process*  For example, you might indicate if (before or after) the company was informed of your voting decision, how the decision was taken, the number of companies the voting topic applied to and the AUM they represent.  *Outcomes*  Describe the changes, if any, in corporate practice that resulted from the voting decision. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EXAMPLE** | | | | |
| **Topic or ESG issue** | **Decision made by** | **Objectives** | **Scope and Process** | **Outcomes** |
| Executive remuneration | Internal staff | To ensure that executive remuneration is aligned with shareholder interests | We focused on AIM-listed companies held in our small cap funds (a total of approximately 25 companies) and decided to vote against 8 remuneration packages. | Following these votes, two companies engaged with us (see engagement section of this report) on how they can ensure that their remuneration practices are supported by investors, and they undertook to make changes prior to next year’s AGM. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Macintosh HD:Work:PRI:PRI Chevrons for Word:PRI_Chevron_Orange.png | **COMMUNICATION** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Indicator status | Purpose | Principle |
| LEA 27 | MANDATORY | CORE ASSESSED | PRI 2,6 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 27 | INDICATOR | |
| **LEA 27.1** | Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses information on your voting activities. | |
|  | 🔾 We disclose it publicly; provide URL\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  🔾 We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only  🔾 We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries | |
| **LEA 27.2** | Indicate whether the information disclosed to the public is the same as that disclosed to clients/beneficiaries. | |
|  | 🔾 Yes | 🔾 No |
| **LEA 27.3** | Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries. | |
| Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose. | |
| 🔾 All voting decisions  🔾 Some voting decisions  🔾 Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions  🔾 Summary of votes only | |
| Indicate what level of explanation you provide. | |
| 🔾 Explain all voting decisions  🔾 Explain some voting decisions  🔾 Only explain abstentions and votes against management  🔾 No explanations provided | |
| **LEA 27.4** | Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information. | |
| 🔾 Continuously (primarily before meetings)  🔾 Continuously (soon after votes are cast)  🔾 Quarterly or more frequently  🔾 Biannually  🔾 Annually  🔾 Less frequently than annually  🔾 Ad hoc/as requested | |
| **LEA 27.5** | Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries. | |
| Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose. | |
| 🔾 All voting decisions  🔾 Some voting decisions  🔾 Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions  🔾 Summary of votes only | |
| Indicate what level of explanation you provide. | |
| 🔾 Explain all voting decisions  🔾 Explain some voting decisions  🔾 Only explain abstentions and votes against management  🔾 No explanations provided | |
| **LEA 27.6** | Indicate how frequently you report voting information to clients/beneficiaries. | |
| 🔾 Continuously (primarily before meetings)  🔾 Continuously (soon after votes are cast)  🔾 Quarterly or more frequently  🔾 Between quarterly and annually  🔾 Less frequently than annually  🔾 Other; specify\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |
| **LEA 27.7** | Describe any other differences in the information being disclosed.  [Optional] | |
|  | |
| **LEA 27.8** | Additional information.  [Optional] | |
|  |  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEA 27 | **EXPLANATORY NOTES** | | |
| **LEA 27.1** | 'Proactively' means beyond the publication of your responses to the PRI Reporting Framework on the PRI website. This can be information on your own website (such as your PRI Reporting Framework responses), in your annual report, newsletter, etc. which may include the information from the PRI Reporting Framework in full, a selection of the information, or as a link. | | |
| **LEA 27.3** | If the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries and the public is the same, the online tool will generate one column to enable you to report this information. | | |
| **LOGIC** | | | |
| **LEA 27** | Within this indicator:   * if you report that your organisation discloses information to your clients/beneficiaries only, indicators [27.5] and [27.6] will be applicable; * if you report that your organisation discloses information to the public, indicators [27.3] and [27.4] will be applicable; or * if you report that the information presented to the public and clients/beneficiaries is different in indicator [27.2], indicators [27.5] and [27.6] will also be applicable, allowing you to describe the differences in approach. | | |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | |
| **LEA 27** | Maximum score: Six ★  The assessment of this indicator is based on whether information is disclosed to the public or clients/beneficiaries only [27.1], what information is disclosed [27.3] and how frequently [27.4]. The top score can only be achieved if information is being disclosed to the public. | | |
|  | **Indicator scoring methodology** | | |
|  | **Selected response** | **Level score** | **Further Details** |
|  | Reporting to the public | | |
|  | ‘No’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, some voting decisions/only abstentions/ summary only less frequently than annually’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, all voting decisions less frequently than annually’ OR  ‘Yes, some voting decisions/only abstentions/ summary only more frequently than annually’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, all voting decisions more frequently than annually’ |  |  |
|  | **Disclosure to clients only (not applicable for Asset Owners)** | | |
|  | ‘No’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, some voting decisions/only abstentions/ summary only less frequently than annually’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, all voting decisions less frequently than annually’ OR  ‘Yes, some voting decisions/only abstentions/ summary only more frequently than annually’ |  |  |
|  | ‘Yes, all voting decisions more frequently than annually’ |  |  |